arXiv:1402.4377v1 [math.CV] 18 Feb 2014

TWO PREDUALITIES AND THREE OPERATORS OVER ANALYTIC CAMPANATO SPACES

JIANFEI WANG AND JIE XIAO

Abstract. This article is devoted to not only characterizing the first and second preduals of the analytic Campanato spaces ($C\mathcal{A}_p$) on the unit disk, but also investigating boundedness of three operators: superposition (S^ϕ); backward shift (S_b); Schwarzian derivative (S), acting on $C\mathcal{A}_p$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Two predualities 3
2.1. Choquet integrals and tent spaces 3
2.2. First predual 4
2.3. Second predual 7
3. Three operators 11
3.1. Superposition 11
3.2. Backward shift 12
3.3. Schwarzian derivative 13
References 16

1. Introduction

From now on, $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ respectively represent the unit disk and the unit circle in the finite complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. For $p \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $C\mathcal{A}_p$ denotes the Campanato space of all analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ with radial boundary values f on $\mathbb{T}$ obeying

$$\|f\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p,*} = \sup_{I\subseteq\mathbb{T}} \sqrt{|I|^{-p} \int_I |f(\xi) - f_I|^2 |d\xi|} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sub-arcs $I \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ with $|I|$ being their arc-lengths, and

$$\begin{cases} |d\xi| = |de^{i\theta}| = d\theta \\ |I| = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_I |d\xi| \\ f_I = (2\pi|I|)^{-1} \int_I f(\xi)\, |d\xi| \end{cases}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H10, 30H25, 30H30, 30H35, 47A20, 47A25.

JW was in part supported the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11001246, No. 11101139) and China Scholarship Council.

JX was in part supported by NSERC of Canada and URP of Memorial University.

Obviously, $\|\cdot\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p,*}$ cannot distinguish between any two $C\mathcal{A}_p$ functions differing by a constant, but

$$\|f\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p} = |f(0)| + \|\cdot\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p,*}$$

defines a norm so that $C\mathcal{A}_p$ is a Banach space. The following table tells us that how $C\mathcal{A}_p$ looks like (see, e.g. [29, 30, 31] and their references):

Index p	Analytic Campanato Space $C\mathcal{A}_p$
$p \in (-\infty, 0]$	Analytic Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^2$
$p \in (0, 1)$	Holomorphic Morrey space $\mathcal{H}^{2,p}$
$p = 1$	Analytic John-Nirenberg space $\mathcal{BMOA}$
$p \in (1, 3]$	Analytic Lipschitz space $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$
$p \in (3, \infty)$	Complex constant space $\mathbb{C}$

Similarly, the little (or vanishing) analytic Campanato space $C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$ consists of all functions in $C\mathcal{A}_p$ satisfying

$$\lim_{|I|\to 0} |I|^{-p} \int_I |f(\xi) - f_I|^2 |d\xi| = 0.$$

In particular, one has

Index p	Little Analytic Campanato Space $C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$
$p \in (-\infty, 0]$	Analytic Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^2$
$p \in (0, 1)$	Little Holomorphic Morrey space $\mathcal{H}_0^{2,p}$
$p = 1$	Analytic Sarason space $\mathcal{VMOA}$
$p \in (1, 3]$	Little Analytic Lipschitz space $\mathcal{A}_{0,\frac{p-1}{2}}$
$p \in (3, \infty)$	Complex constant space $\mathbb{C}$

According to [29, 30, 34], if

$$\begin{cases} (p, a, z) \in (0, 2) \times \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}; \\ \sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}; \\ E(f, a) = (1 - |a|^2)^{1-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 (1 - |\sigma_a(z)|) dm(z). \end{cases}$$

then not only the following are equivalent:

- $f \in C\mathcal{A}_p$;
- $|f'(z)|^2(1 - |z|^2)dm(z)$ is a bounded p-Carleson measure;
- $|f'(z)|^2(1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)dm(z)$ is a bounded p-Carleson measure;
- $|||f|||_{C\mathcal{A}_p,*} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} [E(f, a)]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$,

but also the following are equivalent:

- $f \in C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$;
- $|f'(z)|^2(1 - |z|^2)dm(z)$ is a compact p-Carleson measure;
- $|f'(z)|^2(1 - |\sigma_a(z)|)dm(z)$ is a compact p-Carleson measure;
- $|||f|||_{C\mathcal{A}_p,*} < \infty$ & $\lim_{|a|\to 1} E(f, a) = 0$.

In the above and below, we say that a nonnegative measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a bounded or compact $(0, \infty) \ni p$-Carleson measure provided

$$\|\mu\|_p = \sup_{I\subseteq\mathbb{T}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^p} < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{I\subseteq\mathbb{T},\ |I|\to 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^p} = 0,$$

where for any arc $I \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ one sets $S(I) = \{z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - |I| \leq r < 1, e^{i\theta} \in I\}$.

Continuing essentially from [29, 2, Chapter 3], [30, 31] and [9, 18, 27], in this paper we study two predualities and three operators associated to the analytic Campanato spaces. More precisely, in §2 we use §2.1 - the Choquet integrals and quadratic tent spaces to discover §2.2 - the predual space of $\mathcal{CA}_p$ and §2.3 - the dual space of $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$. And, in §3 we discuss: §3.1 - when the superposition S^ϕ is bounded on $\mathcal{CA}_p$ and $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$; §3.2 - the boundedness of the backward shift S_b on both $\mathcal{CA}_p$ and $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$; §3.3 - the behavior of the Schwarzian derivative $\mathsf{S}(f)$ of a univalent function f on $\mathbb{D}$ whenever $\log f'$ is in $\mathcal{CA}_p$ or $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$.

Notation: In this note, we will use $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ or $\mathsf{X} \gtrsim \mathsf{Y}$ to express $\mathsf{X} \leq \kappa\mathsf{Y}$ or $\mathsf{X} \geq \kappa\mathsf{Y}$ for some constant $\kappa > 0$. Moreover, $\mathsf{X} \approx \mathsf{Y}$ means $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ and $\mathsf{X} \gtrsim \mathsf{Y}$. In addition, $dm(z) = dxdy$ stands for two dimensional Lebesgue area measure.

2. Two predualities

2.1. **Choquet integrals and tent spaces.** The $(0, 1] \ni p$-dimensional capacity of $E \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}(E) = \inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j|^p : E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all coverings of E by countable families of open arcs $I_j \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. According to [1], $\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}$ is a monotone, countably subadditive set function on the class of all subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ which vanishes on the empty set, and the Choquet integral of a nonnegative function f on $\mathbb{T}$ against $\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}$ is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\Lambda_p^{(\infty)} = \int_0^{\infty} \Lambda_p^{(\infty)}(\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) > t\})\, dt.$$

Following [29, Chapter 4], let

$$N(\omega)(\xi) = \sup\{\omega(z) : |z - \xi| < 1 - |z|^2\} \quad \forall \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}.$$

be the nontangential maximal function of ω, and define

$$\|\omega\|_{LN^1(\Lambda_\infty^p)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} N(\omega) d\Lambda_p^{(\infty)}.$$

Definition 2.1. *Let $p \in (0, 1]$.*

- *The space $\mathcal{T}_p^\infty$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ with*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}_p^\infty} = \sup_{I \subseteq \mathbb{T}} \left(|I|^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{T}(I)} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

  where the supremun runs over all open subarcs I of $\mathbb{T}$ and

$$\mathbb{T}(E) = \{re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} : \operatorname{dist}(e^{i\theta}, \mathbb{T} \setminus E) > 1 - r\}$$

  is the tent over the set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$.
- *The space $\mathcal{T}_p^1$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ with*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}_p^1} = \inf_{\omega} \left(|I|^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (\omega(z))^{-1} (1 - |z|^2)^{-1} dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the above infimun is taken over all nonnegative functions ω on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\|\omega\|_{LN^1(\Lambda^p_\infty)} \le 1$.

- *A function a on $\mathbb{D}$ is called a $\mathcal{T}^1_p$-atom if there exists a subarc I of $\mathbb{T}$ such that a is supported in the tent $\mathbb{T}(I)$ and satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}(I)} |a(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{-1}dm(z) \le |I|^{-p}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p \in (0,1]$.*

- *If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{T}^1_p} < \infty$, then $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j \in \mathcal{T}^1_p$ with $\le 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{T}^1_p}$.*
- *$f \in \mathcal{T}^1_p$ if and only if there is a sequence of $\mathcal{T}^1_p$-atom $\{a_j\}$ and an l^1-sequence $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$. Moreover,*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{T}^1_p} \approx |||f|||_{\mathcal{T}^1_p} = \inf\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\lambda_j| : \quad f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lambda_j a_j\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible atomic decompositions of $f \in \mathcal{T}^1_p$. Consequently, $\mathcal{T}^1_p$ is a Banach space under the norm $|||f|||_{\mathcal{T}^1_p}$.
- *$\mathcal{T}^\infty_p = [\mathcal{T}^1_p]^*$ under the pairing $< f, g >= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} f\overline{g}dm$.*

Proof. This follows easily from a slight modification of [29, Lemma 4.3.1 & Theorem 4.3.2]. □

2.2. **First predual.** When $1 < p \le 3$, Duren, Romberg and shierds [13] gave the predual space of the analytic Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ is the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^{\frac{2}{1+p}}$. For $p = 1$, Fefferman [14] established the well-known result $(\mathcal{H}^1)^* = \mathcal{BMOA}$. For $p = 0$, $(\mathcal{H}^2)^* = \mathcal{H}^2$. For $p \in (0,1)$, note that $\mathcal{BMOA} \subset \mathcal{H}^{2,p} \subset \mathcal{H}^2$, hence the predual of the analytic Morrey space should be an analytic function space between the analytic Hardy spaces $\mathcal{H}^2$ and $\mathcal{H}^1$. To work out this predual space, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. [27] *For $p, \eta \in (0,2)$, $a > \frac{2-\eta}{2}$, $b > \frac{1+\eta}{2}$, and a Lebesgue measurable function f on $\mathbb{D}$, let*

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{a,b}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{b-1}}{|1-\overline{w}z|^{a+b}} f(w)dm(w), \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If $|f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^\eta dm(z)$ is a p-Carleson measure, then $|\boldsymbol{T}_{a,b}f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{2a+\eta-2}dm(z)$ is also a p-Carleson measure.

Below is a description of the first predual space of the analytic Morrey space.

Theorem 2.4. *For $p \in (0,1]$ let $\mathcal{BA}_p$ be the class of all analytic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} = \inf_{\omega}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the infimun is taken over all nonnegative functions ω on $\mathbb{D}$ with $\|\omega\|\|_{LN^1(\Lambda^p_\infty)} \le 1$. Then $C\mathcal{A}_p$ is isomorphic to the dual of $B\mathcal{A}_p$ under the pairing

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\xi)\overline{g}(\xi)\frac{|d\xi|}{2\pi} \quad \forall \quad (f,g) \in B\mathcal{A}_p \times C\mathcal{A}_p.$$

That is, $B\mathcal{A}_p^* = C\mathcal{A}_p$. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{B\mathcal{A}_p} \approx \sup\{|\langle f, g\rangle| : \ g \in C\mathcal{A}_p \ \ \& \ \ \|g\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p} \le 1\} \quad \forall \quad f \in B\mathcal{A}_p.$$

Proof. On the one hand, assume that $f \in B\mathcal{A}_p$ and $g \in C\mathcal{A}_p$. According to the function-theoretic characterization of $C\mathcal{A}_p$ stated in §1 we have

$$d\mu(z) = |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$$

is a p-Carleson measure on $\mathbb{D}$; that is

$$\|\mu\|_p = \sup_{I\subseteq\mathbb{T}} \mu(S(I))|I|^{-P} \lesssim \|g\|^2_{C\mathcal{A}_p}.$$

If ω is a positive function on $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} N(\omega)(\xi)d\Lambda^\infty_p(\xi) \le 1,$$

then, by the Hardy-Littlewood identity and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(e^{i\theta})\overline{g}(e^{i\theta})d\theta\Big| \\
&= \Big|f(0)\overline{g}(0) + \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(z)\overline{g}'(z)\log\frac{1}{|z|}dm(z)\Big| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)||g'(z)|(1-|z|^2)dm(z) \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2\omega(z)(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\omega(z))d\mu(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \|\mu\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\langle f, g\rangle| \lesssim \|g\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p}\|f\|_{B\mathcal{A}_p},$$

namely, $C\mathcal{A}_p \subseteq B\mathcal{A}_p^*$.

On the other hand, suppose $L \in B\mathcal{A}_p^*$. If

$$D(f)(z) = f'(z)(1-|z|^2) \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

then D is an isometric map from $B\mathcal{A}_p$ into $\mathcal{T}^1_p$. Since $\mathcal{T}^1_p$ is a Banach space under $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{\mathcal{T}^1_p}$, it follows from the Hahn-Banach Theorem and Lemma 2.2 that one can select a function

$h\in\mathcal{T}_p^\infty$ such that

$$L(f)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(z)(1-|z|^2)\overline{h}(z)dm(z)\quad\forall\quad f\in\mathcal{BA}_p.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} N(\omega)(\xi)d\Lambda_p^\infty(\xi)\le 1\Longrightarrow(1-|z|^2)^p\omega(z)\lesssim 1\quad\forall\quad z\in\mathbb{D},$$

one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)^2dm(z)\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{1+p}dm(z)\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$$

holds for any ω used in $\mathcal{BA}_p$. Thus we utilize the reproducing formula in [24, p.120] or [34, p.81] to achieve

$$f'(z)=\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\frac{f'(w)(1-|w|^2)}{(1-\overline{w}z)^3}dm(w)\quad\forall\quad f\in\mathcal{BA}_p,$$

thereby finding

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{L}(f)\\&=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(z)(1-|z|^2)\overline{h}(z)dm(z)\\&=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(w)\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\frac{\overline{h}(z)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-\overline{w}z)^3}dm(z)\right)(1-|w|^2)dm(w)\\&=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(w)\overline{g}'(w)(1-|w|^2)dm(w),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(w)=\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^w\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}\frac{h(z)(1-|z|^2)}{(1-u\overline{z})^3}dm(z)\right)du.$$

Note that

$$g(0)=0\ \ \&\ \ \left|\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(w)\overline{g}'(w)(1-|w|^2)dm(w)\right|\approx\left|\int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(w)\overline{g}'(w)\log(\frac{1}{|w|})dm(w)\right|.$$

In terms of the Hardy-Littlewood identity, we get $|\mathbf{L}(f)|\approx|\langle f,g\rangle|$. Note also that

$$d\mu(z)=|h(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$$

is a p-Carleson measure on $\mathbb{D}$. So, Lemma 2.3 is employed to derive that

$$|g'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)dm(z)$$

is also a p-Carleson measure on $\mathbb{D}$, and then $g\in\mathcal{CA}_p$. Therefore, $\mathcal{BA}_p^*=\mathcal{CA}_p$. Furthermore, applying a consequence of the Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem (see [11, p.48]) to $\mathcal{BA}_p$, we see that if $f\in\mathcal{BA}_p$ is a nonzero then there exists $\mathbf{L}\in\mathcal{BA}_p^*=\mathcal{CA}_p$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{L}\|=1\quad\&\quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}=\mathbf{L}(f)=\langle f,g\rangle.$$

With the help of the foregoing argument, we can find a function $g\in\mathcal{CA}_p$ such that

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}\le 1\quad\&\quad\mathbf{L}(f)=\langle f,g\rangle\quad\forall\quad f\in\mathcal{BA}_p.$$

This clearly implies that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \approx \sup\{|\langle f, g\rangle| : \ g \in C\mathcal{A}_p \ \& \ \|g\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p} \le 1\} \quad \forall \quad f \in \mathcal{BA}_p.$$

□

2.3. **Second predual.** It is well-known that

$$\begin{cases} [\mathcal{H}^2]^{**} = \mathcal{H}^2; \\ [\mathcal{VMOA}]^{**} = \mathcal{BMOA}; \\ [\mathcal{A}_{0,\frac{p-1}{2}}]^{**} = \mathcal{A}_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \quad \forall \quad p \in (1,3). \end{cases}$$

So, it remains to see whether the above identification can be extended to the analytic Morrey space. To do so, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *For $(p,r) \in (0,1] \times (0,1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{BA}_p$ let $f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Then*

$$\lim_{r\to 1} \|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} = 0 \quad \& \quad \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}.$$

Thus the polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{BA}_p$.

Proof. Choosing $\omega(z) = 1$ in the definition of $\mathcal{BA}_p$, one gets that any bounded analytic function f with $f(0) = 0$ must be in $\mathcal{BA}_p$ and hence $f_r \in \mathcal{BA}_p$ for any $0 < r < 1$. Suppose now $f \in \mathcal{BA}_p$. An application of Poisson's formula to f_r gives

$$f_r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z\zeta)\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\bar{\zeta}|^2}|d\zeta|.$$

Derivating both sides of the above equality with respect to z and using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$|f_r'(z)| \le \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f'(z\zeta)|^2 \frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\bar{\zeta}|^2}|d\zeta|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, by the definition of $\mathcal{BA}_p$, there is a nonnegative ω_ϵ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(\omega_\epsilon(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} + \epsilon$$

According to the rotation invariance of ω_ϵ, one has that for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z\zeta)|^2(\omega_\epsilon(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} + \epsilon \tag{2.2}$$

Using the inequalities (2.1)-(2.2) and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_r\|^2_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_r'(z)|^2(\omega_\epsilon(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z) \\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z\zeta)|^2(\omega_\epsilon(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\right)\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\bar{\zeta}|^2}|d\zeta| \\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}(\|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}+\epsilon)^2\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\bar{\zeta}|^2}|d\zeta| \\ &= (\|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}+\epsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in the above estimates, one obtains $\|f_r\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}$.

In the sequel we prove $\lim_{r\to 1}\|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} = 0$. For any $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_r'(z) - f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\\
&= \int_{|z|\le\delta} |f_r'(z) - f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\\
&+ \int_{1-\delta<|z|\le 1} |f_r'(z) - f'(z)|^2(\omega(z))^{-1}(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\\
&= Int_1 + Int_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $f,\ f_r \in \mathcal{BA}_p$. So, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$1 - \eta < \delta < 1 \Longrightarrow Int_2 < \epsilon^2.$$

Now, fixing some δ and noticing that f_r is uniformly convergent to f on the compact set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} :\ |z| \le \delta\}$, one gains a number $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$1 - \lambda < r < 1 \Longrightarrow Int_1 < \epsilon^2.$$

Thus, putting all together gives $\lim_{r\to 1}\|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} = 0$. □

A modification of the techniques used in [26] produces the following density result for $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$.

Lemma 2.6. *For $(p, r) \in (0, 1]\times(0, 1)$ let $f \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ with $f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $f \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$, *i.e.*, $\lim_{I\subseteq\mathbb{T},\ |I|\to 0} \sqrt{|I|^{-p}\int_I |f(\xi) - f_I|^2|d\xi|} = 0$;
- $\lim_{r\to 1}\|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} = 0$;
- *f belongs to the closure of all polynomials in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}$;*
- *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $g \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$ such that $\|g - f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} < \epsilon$.*

Proof. It is enough to verify that

$$f \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p} \Longrightarrow \lim_{r\to 1}\|f_r - f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} = 0.$$

Suppose now $f \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$. Then

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1} E(f_r - f, a) = 0$$

holds for any fixed $r \in (0, 1)$. Meanwhile,

$$\lim_{r\to 1}\sup_{|a|\le\delta} E(f_r - f, a) = 0.$$

Also, it is not hard to establish

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|||f_r|||_{\mathcal{CA}_{p,*}}\\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}[E(f_r,a)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|(f_r)'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_a(z)|)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z\zeta)|^2(1-|\sigma_a(z)|)dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\bar{\zeta}|^2}|d\zeta|\\
&\le [E(f,a)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&= |||f|||_{\mathcal{CA}_{p,*}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up, one finds that

$$\lim_{r\to 1}|||f_r-f|||_{\mathcal{CA}_{p,*}}=0 \quad \& \quad \lim_{r\to 1}\|f_r-f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}=0,$$

as desired. □

Below is the second preduality for the analytic Morrey space.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $p\in(0,1]$. Then $\mathcal{BA}_p$ is isomorphic to the dual of $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$ under the pairing*

$$\langle f,g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{T}}f(\xi)\bar{g}(\xi)\frac{|d\xi|}{2\pi}\quad\forall\quad(f,g)\in\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}\times\mathcal{BA}_p.$$

That is, $[\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}]^*=\mathcal{BA}_p$. Thus $[\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}]^{**}=\mathcal{CA}_p$.

Proof. On the one hand, for $g\in\mathcal{BA}_p$ let

$$\mathbf{S}_g(f)=\overline{\mathbf{T}}_f(g)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}f(\xi)\bar{g}(\xi)\frac{|d\xi|}{2\pi}\quad\forall\quad f\in\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}.$$

Then $\mathbf{S}_g$ is linear. Also

$$|\mathbf{S}_g(f)|\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}\|g\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{S}_g\in[\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}]^*\quad\&\quad\|\mathbf{S}_g\|\lesssim\|g\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p}.$$

Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{S}\in[\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}]^*$. For each $n\in\{0,1,2,...\}$ let

$$\phi_n(z)=z^n\quad\forall\quad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

Then $\phi_n\in\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$ for all n. According to [30, Lemma 1], an elementary calculation shows

$$1\le|||\phi_n|||_{\mathcal{CA}_{p,*}}=\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\|\phi_n\circ\sigma_a-\phi_n(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}\le 2,$$

where $\sigma_a(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$. Set

$$b_n=\overline{\mathbf{S}}(\phi_n)\quad\forall\quad n=0,1,2,...$$

Then we see that

$$|b_n|=|\mathbf{S}(\phi_n)|\le\|\mathbf{S}\|\,\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}\lesssim\|\mathbf{S}\|.$$

It follows that the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ has its radius of convergence greater than or equal to 1. Define

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then g is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. For $0 < r < 1$, set

$$g_r(z) = g(rz) \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We are going to show that

$$g \in \mathcal{BA}_p \quad \text{with} \quad \|g\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{S}\| \tag{2.3}$$

and that

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_g. \tag{2.4}$$

In terms of Lemma 2.5, (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\|g_r\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{S}\| \quad \forall \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Theorem 2.4 shows that

$$\|\mathbf{T}_f\| \approx \|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}.$$

Taking $f \in \mathcal{BA}_p$ and setting $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, one obtains

$$f_r(z) = f(rz) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n z^n \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \phi_k \to f_r \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

uniformly in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and, hence, in $\mathcal{BA}_p$, which, since $\mathbf{S} \in [\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}]^*$, implies

$$\mathbf{S}(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k r^k \phi_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \overline{b}_k r^k \to \mathbf{S}(f_r) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \overline{b}_k r^k = \mathbf{S}(f_r). \tag{2.5}$$

Now (2.5) can be written as

$$\mathbf{S}(f_r) = \mathbf{T}_{g_r}(f).$$

Using Lemmas 2.5-2.6 we have $\|f_r\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}$. Thus,

$$|\mathbf{T}_f(g_r)| = |\mathbf{T}_{g_r}(f)| = |\mathbf{S}(f_r)| \le \|\mathbf{S}\| \|f_r\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{S}\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}.$$

From Theorem 2.4 it follows that

$$\|g_r\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{CA}_p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\mathbf{T}_f(g_r)|}{\|\mathbf{T}_f\|} \lesssim \sup_{f \in \mathcal{CA}_p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\mathbf{T}_f(g_r)|}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|g_r\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{S}\|.$$

However, Lemma 2.5 tells us that

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{BA}_p} \lesssim \|\mathbf{S}\|,$$

which completes the proof of (2.3).

Now, if $f \in C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$, according to Lemma 2.6 and the continuity of $\mathbf{S}$, one has that

$$\mathbf{S}(f) = \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{S}(f_r) = \lim_{r\to 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} a_k r^k.$$

Hence, the proof of (2.4) is completed. □

As an immediate consequence of the second preduality established above, the following covers the corresponding $\mathcal{BMOA}$-result in [7, 8, 25] and Lip_α-result in [17].

Corollary 2.8. *Let $p \in [0, 3)$ and $f \in C\mathcal{A}_p$. Then*

$$\mathrm{dist}(f,\ C\mathcal{A}_{0,p})_{C\mathcal{A}_p} = \overline{\lim_{|I|\to 0}} \left(|I|^{-p} \int_I |f - f_I|^2 |d\xi| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{cases} X = \mathcal{H}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}; \\ Y = \mathcal{H}^1; \\ L = \left\{ L_I :\ L_I = \chi_I |I|^{-p} (f - f_I)\ \ \&\ \ \emptyset \neq I \subseteq \mathbb{T} \text{ is an arc} \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where χ_I is the characteristic function of I and $f_I = |I|^{-1} \int_I f(\xi)\, |d\xi|$. Now, if

$$\begin{cases} f \in C\mathcal{A}_p; \\ f_n = f * P_{1-\frac{1}{n}} \quad \forall \quad n = 1, 2, 3, ...; \\ P_r(\theta) = \frac{1-r^2}{|e^{i\theta} - r|^2}, \end{cases}$$

then an application of the second preduality $[C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}]^{**} = C\mathcal{A}_p$ (cf. Theorem 2.7) and Lemma 2.6 gives that $C\mathcal{A}_p$ and $C\mathcal{A}_{0,\,p}$ satisfy Assumption A of [20, Theorem 2.3], and hence one has

$$\mathrm{dist}(f,\ C\mathcal{A}_p)_{C\mathcal{A}_p} = \overline{\lim_{|I|\to 0}} \left(|I|^{-p} \int_I |f - f_I|^2 |d\xi| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

3. Three operators

3.1. **Superposition.** Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are two subspaces of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ and ϕ is a complex-valued function $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi \circ f \in \mathcal{Y}$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{X}$, we say that ϕ acts by superposition from $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ contain the linear functions, then ϕ must be an entire function. The superposition $\mathsf{S}^\phi :\ \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ with symbol ϕ is then defined by $\mathsf{S}^\phi(f) = \phi \circ f$. A basic question is when S^ϕ map $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}$ continuously? This question has been studied for many distinct pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ - see e.g. [4, 5, 15, 29]. In this section, we are interested in the analytic Morrey space and its little one, and have the following result which extends the case of $p \in (1, 3]$ in [32].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \in [0, 1)$. Then S^ϕ is bounded on $C\mathcal{A}_p$ or $C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$ if and only if $\phi(z) = az + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Note that (cf. [30, 31]) if $p \in [0, 2)$ then

$$\begin{cases} f_b(z) = (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1+p}{2}}/(1-\overline{b}z) \Longrightarrow \sup_{b\in\mathbb{D}} \|f_b\|_{\mathcal{CA}_{p,*}} < \infty; \\ f \in \mathcal{CA}_P \Rightarrow |f'(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{3-p}{2}}} \ \ \forall \ z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

Firstly, if S^ϕ is bounded on $\mathcal{CA}_p$, then an application of Lemma 2.6 yields that S^ϕ is bounded on $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$.

Secondly, if S^ϕ is bounded on $\mathcal{CA}_p$, then for $f \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ one has

$$|(\mathsf{S}^\phi(f))'(z)| = |\phi'(f(z))||f'(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{3-p}{2}}} \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Choosing the following $\mathcal{CA}_p$-function

$$f_{\theta,b}(z) = e^{i\theta}(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1+p}{2}}/(1-\overline{b}z)$$

in the last inequality, one gets

$$|\phi'(f_{\theta,b}(z))||f'_{\theta,b}(z)| = |\phi'(f_{\theta,b}(z))|\left(\frac{|b|(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1+p}{2}}}{|1-\overline{b}z|^2}\right) \lesssim \frac{\|f_{\theta,b}\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{3-p}{2}}}.$$

Note that

$$\sup_{\theta,b} \|f_{\theta,b}\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} < \infty.$$

So there is a positive M independent of (θ, b) such that

$$\sup_{\theta,b} \|f_{\theta,b}\|_{\mathcal{CA}_p} \le M.$$

In particular, setting $b = z$ yields

$$|\phi'(f_{\theta,b}(b))||f'_{\theta,b}(b)| = \sup_{b\in\mathbb{D}} |\phi'(f_b(b))||b| \le M \implies |\phi'(e^{i\theta}(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}})| \le M \quad \forall \quad b \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Now letting $|b| \to 1$ in the last estimate and noticing $p \in [0, 1)$, we obtain that the entire function ϕ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}$. An application of the maximum principle yields that ϕ must be a linear function.

Thirdly, if $\phi(z) = az + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\phi'(z) = a$, and hence $\mathsf{S}^\phi(f) = af + b$. Hence S^ϕ is bounded on $\mathcal{CA}_p$. □

3.2. **Backward shift.** For a function $z \mapsto f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathcal{CA}_p$, the backward shift operator $\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b} f$ is defined as

$$\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f)(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z} = a_1 + a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + ...$$

As well-known, the backward shift operator plays an important role in the general study of bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces; see [10]. Moreover, the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p$ is invariant under S_b; see [12] for $p > 1$ and [3] for $p \in (0, 1]$. The backward shift operator for $\mathcal{BMOA}$ and Lipschitz spaces have been considered in [33]. Hence, it remains to handle the action of S_b on the analytic Morrey space $\mathcal{CA}_{0<p<1}$ and its little one. The following result indicates the behavior of S_b on $\mathcal{CA}_p$ is particularly good.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$. Then S_b is a bounded operator on both $\mathcal{CA}_p$ and $\mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$.*

Proof. Since the polynomials are dense in $C\mathcal{A}_{0,p}$ (cf. Lemma 2.6), it suffices to prove that boundedness of S_b on $C\mathcal{A}_p$. To do so, suppose $f \in C\mathcal{A}_p$.
The decay of f' ensures

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1-|z|^2)dm(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} dm(z) < \infty.$$

Hence f' is in the weighted Bergman space $L^1_a(\mathbb{D}, (1-|z|^2)dm(z))$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Using the reproducing kernel formula on [24, p.120]:

$$f'(z) = 2\pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f'(w)(1-|w|^2)}{(1-\bar{w}z)^3} dm(w) \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f)(z) \\
&= \int_0^1 f'(tz)dt \\
&= 2\pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(w)(1-|w|^2) \left(\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t\bar{w}z)^3} \right) dm(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the above equality with respect to z, one gets

$$(\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f))'(z) = 6\pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t\bar{w}}{(1-t\bar{w}z)^4} dt \right) f'(w)(1-|w|^2)dm(w). \tag{3.1}$$

Also, it is not hard to estimate

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{t\bar{w}}{(1-t\bar{w}z)^4} dt \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{|1-\bar{w}z|^3}. \tag{3.2}$$

A combination of (3.1) and (3.2) yields

$$|(\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f))'(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f'(w)|(1-|w|^2)}{|1-\bar{w}z|^3} dm(w).$$

Note that $f \in \mathcal{H}^{2,p}$. So $|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$ is a p-Carleson measure. From the Lemma 2.3 it follows that $|(\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$ is also a p-Carleson measure. It is obvious that $\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{D}$. Thus,

$$\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f) \in C\mathcal{A}_p \quad \text{with} \quad \|\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{b}(f)\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p} \lesssim \|f\|_{C\mathcal{A}_p}.$$

□

3.3. **Schwarzian derivative.** Let f be a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{D}$ into a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a $\mathcal{X}$ domain whenever $\log f'$ belongs to an analytic function space $\mathcal{X}$ on $\mathbb{D}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bloch space of all analytic functions f in $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty$$

and $\mathcal{B}_0$ is the little Bloch space consisting of all functions $f \in \mathcal{B}$ with

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)|f'(z)| = 0.$$

Pommerenke [22] proved that $f \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there is a constant $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and a univalent function f such that $g = a \log f'$. So, it is interesting to characterize such a

univalent f that $\log f' \in \mathcal{X}$ and then to establish whether this condition implies some nice geometric properties of the image domain $f(\mathbb{D})$. To be more precise, recall that the Schwarzian derivative of a univalent (analytic) function f on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$\mathsf{S}(f) = \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2.$$

This fully nonlinear operator plays an important role in geometric function theory, conformal field theory, differential equations and others. Interestingly, if f is univalent on $\mathbb{D}$, then

$$|f''(z)/f'(z)|(1-|z|^2) \le 6 \quad \& \quad (1-|z|^2)^2|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)| \le 6 \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Conversely, if

$$|f''(z)/f'(z)|(1-|z|^2) \le 1 \quad \text{or} \quad (1-|z|^2)^2|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)| \le 2 \quad \forall \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

then f is univalent on $\mathbb{D}$, for more details see [23]. Moreover, $\mathsf{S}(f)$ vanishes identically if and only if f is a Möbius mapping. Recently, there have been some results linking the Schwarzian derivative of a univalent analytic function to the characterizion of some analytic function spaces. According to Astala-Zinsmeister [6] and Pérez-González-Rättyä [21], one has

$$\begin{cases} \log f' \in \mathcal{BMOA} \Leftrightarrow |\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z) \text{ is a bounded Carleson measure on } \mathbb{D}; \\ \log f' \in \mathcal{VMOA} \Leftrightarrow |\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z) \text{ is a vanishing Carleson measure on } \mathbb{D}. \end{cases}$$

Further, Q_p-domains and even more general $F(p,q,s)$-domains were studied in [16, 19, 29, 35]. The above review actually leads to a consideration of the case of analytic Campanato spaces.

Theorem 3.3. *Let f be a univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$.*

- *Case $p \in (0,1)$: if $\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ ($\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$) then $|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded (vanishing) p-Carleson measure and $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}$. Conversely, if $|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded (vanishing) p-Carleson measure and $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then $\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ ($\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$).*
- *Case $p \in (1,3)$: $\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ ($\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_{0,p}$) if and only if $|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded (vanishing) p-Carleson measure and $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}_0$.*

Proof. It is enough to verify boundedness.

Under $p \in (0,1)$, we make the following consideration. For simplicity, let

$$\mathsf{P}(f)(z) = (\log f'(z))' = \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}.$$

Then

$$\mathsf{S}(f)(z) = (\mathsf{P}(f))'(z) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{P}(f)(z))^2.$$

Note that $\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_p$ and $|\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)$ is a p-Carleson measure if and only if $|(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a p-Carleson measure. So, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one obtains

$$|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2 \le 2|(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2 + |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4 \lesssim |(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2 + |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4.$$

Using Pommerenke's result in [22], one always has $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}$, that is

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|(1-|z|^2) = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |(\log f'(z))'|(1-|z|^2) < \infty.$$

This in turns implies that

$$|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 \lesssim |(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 + |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2),$$

thereby giving that $|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded p-Carleson measure.

For the converse part, set

$$I_a = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z).$$

Note that

$$\mathsf{S}(f)(z) = (\mathsf{P}(f))'(z) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathsf{P}(f)(z))^2.$$

So

$$I_a \le \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(2|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3| + \frac{1}{2}|\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4(1-|z|^2)^3 \right) |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z). \tag{3.3}$$

If $\log f' \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exsits $0 < r_\epsilon < 1$ such that

$$|\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|(1-|z|^2) < \epsilon \quad \text{as} \quad |z| > r_\epsilon.$$

Hence, there exsists some $\kappa > 0$ depending only on p such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|z|>r_\epsilon} |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4(1-|z|^2)^3 |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \\
&\le \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2) |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \\
&\le \epsilon^2 \kappa \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathsf{P})(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \\
&= \epsilon^2 \kappa I_a.
\end{aligned}$$

At the same time, note that

$$|\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|(1-|z|^2) \le 6 \quad \& \quad (1-|z|^2)|\sigma_a'(z)| = 1 - |\sigma_a(z)|^2.$$

So one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|z|\le r_\epsilon} |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4(1-|z|^2)^3 |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \\
&\le 6^4 \int_{|z|\le r_\epsilon} (1-|z|^2)^{-1} |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \\
&\le 6^4 \int_{|z|\le r_\epsilon} (1-|z|^2)^{-1-p} (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)^p dm(z) \\
&\le \frac{6^4}{(1-r_\epsilon^2)^{1+p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathsf{P}(f)(z)|^4(1-|z|^2)^3 |\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) \le \epsilon^2 \kappa I_a + \frac{6^4}{(1-r_\epsilon^2)^{1+p}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Upon choosing $0 < \epsilon$ to be so small that $\epsilon < \sqrt{2/\kappa}$, one gets from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$(2-\epsilon^2\kappa)I_a \le 4\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3|\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) + \frac{6^4}{(1-r_\epsilon^2)^{1+p}}.$$

Since $|\mathsf{S}(f)(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded p-Carleson measure and $2-\epsilon^2\kappa > 0$, it follows that

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3|\sigma_a'(z)|^p dm(z) < \infty.$$

Hence $|(\mathsf{P}(f))'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^3 dm(z)$ is a bounded p-Carleson measure. Consequently, $\log f' \in \mathcal{CA}_p$.

Under $p \in (1,3)$, one has that $\mathcal{CA}_p$ is equal to $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ comprising all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{3-p}{2}}|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

Hence $\mathcal{CA}_p \subset \mathcal{B}_0$. Therefore, the argument for the case $p \in (0,1)$ can be utilized to complete the proof of the case $p \in (1,3)$. □

References

[1] D. R. Adams, A note on Choquet integrals with respect to Hausdorff capacity. Function spaces and applications. Lund, 1986, 115-124, Lecture Notes in Math., 1302, Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[2] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis. *Ark. Mat.*50(2012)201-230.

[3] A. B. Aleksandrov, Invariant subspaces of the backward shift operator in the space $\mathcal{H}^p$ $(p \in (0,1))$ (Russian), Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, IX. *Zap. Nauchn. Leningrad Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI).* 92(1979) 7-29.

[4] V. Alvarez, A. Marquez and D. Vukotic, Superposition operators between the Bloch space and Bergman spaces. *Ark. Mat.* 42(2004) 205-216.

[5] J. Appell and P. P. Zabrejko, Nonlinear superposition operators. *Nonlinear superposition operators.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[6] K. Astala and M. Zinsmeister, Teichmüller spaces and BMOA. *Math. Ann.* 289(1991) 613-625.

[7] S. Axler and J. Shapiro, Putnam's theorem, Alexander's spectral area estimate, and VMO. *Math. Ann.* 271(1985) 161-183.

[8] J. Carmona and J. Cufí, On the distance of an analytic function to VMO. *J. London Math. Soc.* 34(1986) 52-66.

[9] C. Cascante, J. Fábrega and J. M. Ortega, The corona theorem in weighted Hardy and Morrey spaces. *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa.* Doi 10.2422/2036-2145.201202-006.

[10] J. A. Cima and W. T. Ross, *The backward shift on the Hardy space.* American Mathematical Society, 2000.

[11] C. L. Devito, *Functional Analysis.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 38 Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[12] R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, On cyclic vectors of the backward shift. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 20(1970) 37-76.

[13] P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg and A. L. Shields, Linear functionals on $\mathcal{H}^p$ spaces with $0 < p < 1$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 238(1969) 32-60.

[14] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. $\mathcal{H}^p$ spaces of several variables. *Acta. Math.* 129(1972) 137-193.

[15] D. Girela and M. A. Marquez, Superposition operators between Q_p spaces and Hardy spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 364(2010) 463-472.

[16] J. Jin, A note on Q_p domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 406(2013) 511-518.

[17] N. J. Kalton, Spaces of Lipschitzand Holder functions and their applications. *Collect. Math.* 5(2004) 465-474.

[18] P. Li, J. Liu and Z. Lou, Integral operators on analytic Morrey spaces. *Sci. China Math.* 57(2014) DOI 10.1007/s11425-000-0000-0.

[19] J. Pau and J. Peláez, Logarithms of the derivative of univalent functions in Q_p spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 350(2009) 184-194.
[20] K. Perfekt, Duality and distance formulas in spaces defined by means of oscillation. *Ark. Mat.* 51(2013) 345-361.
[21] F. Pérez-González and G. Rättyä, Dirichlet and VMOA domains via Schwarzian derivative. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359(2)(2009) 184-194.
[22] C. Pommerenke, On Bloch functions. *J. London Math. Soc.* 2(1970) 689-695.
[23] C. Pommerenke, *boundary behaviour of conformal maps.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[24] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
[25] D. Stegenga and K. Stephenson, Sharp geometric estimates of the distance to VMOA. The Madison Symposium on Complex Analysis (Madison, WI, 1991), *Contemp. Math.* 137(2004) 421-432.
[26] K. J. Wirths and J. Xiao, Recognizing $Q_{p,0}$ functions per Dirichlet space structure. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* 8(2001) 47-59.
[27] Z. Wu and C. Xie, Q spaces and Morrey spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* 201(2003) 282-297.
[28] J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q Classes*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1767, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[29] J. Xiao, *Geometric* Q_p *Functions*. Birkhauser-Verlag, 2006.
[30] J. Xiao and W. Xu, Composition operators between analytic Campanato space. *J. Geom. Anal.* Doi 10.1007/s12220-012-9349-6.
[31] J. Xiao and C. Yuan, Analytic Campanato spaces and their compositions. *arXiv:1303.5032v2[math.CV]* 7May2013.
[32] W. Xu, Superposition operators on Bloch-type spaces. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory.* 7(2007) 501-507.
[33] X. Zhang, Solvability of Gleason's problem on the space $F(p,q,s)$ with several complex variables. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A.* 31 (2010)221-228.
[34] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 138, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
[35] N. Zorboska, Schwarzian derivative and general Besov-type domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 379(2011) 48-57.

Mathematics and Physics, Information Engineering, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P. R. China

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: wjfustc@zjnu.cn

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Memorial University, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: jxiao@mun.ca